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Abstract
This study investigated the prevalence of weight control and binge eating behaviors in a sample of 767 adolescent girls aged 16–20 years, and the differences between adolescents with and without altered eating behaviors regarding anthropometric and body image variables and beliefs associated with eating disorders. Adolescents who engaged in unhealthy strategies were found to be at a higher risk of eating disorders, since these behaviors were accompanied by higher levels of drive for thinness and body dissatisfaction, as well as by beliefs associated with the importance of weight and body shape as a means of personal and social acceptance.
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Introduction
Many adolescents, particularly girls, are involved in unhealthy eating and weight control behaviors (restricted intake, laxatives, diuretics or diet pills, and induced vomiting) due to social emphasis on appearance, image, and physical attractiveness (Thøgersen-Ntoumani et al., 2010). The high prevalence of these behaviors may constitute a serious health problem, due to their adverse effects on the physical, emotional, and psychosocial health of young people (Maor et al., 2006; Neumark-Sztainer et al., 2002). According to various studies, this type of behavior may lead to nutritional deficiencies, eating disorders (EDs), obesity, depression, and suicide attempts (Neumark-Sztainer et al., 2004; Stice et al., 1999).

Over recent years, many studies on EDs have focused on assessing the nature and correlates of EDs. One of the factors that appears time and time again in studies with adolescents suffering from EDs is a high level of dissatisfaction with their body image because of the internalization of the thin ideal. Indeed, body dissatisfaction, the drive for thinness, and a distorted perception of one’s weight are factors...
associated with extreme weight control strategies in adolescents (Vander Wall, 2011). The internalization of the thin ideal is mediated by characteristics such as body weight, general self-determination, self-esteem, and the filtering of media messages through cognitive strategies (Ahern et al., 2011; Bojorquez et al., 2013; Mask and Blanchard, 2011; Shroff and Thompson, 2006).

Cognitive theories on EDs highlight the importance of underlying beliefs regarding weight, body shape, and eating as causal factors in the development and maintenance of an altered eating behavior (Cooper et al., 2004). There are two types of relevant cognitions: those that are specific to the disorder, which refer to eating, body shape, and weight (Cooper et al., 2004; Mizes et al., 2000), and personal ones, which are generalized beliefs regarding oneself. Consequently, both negative self-beliefs and underlying attitudes to weight, body shape, and eating must be present in order for an ED to develop and be maintained (Cooper, 2005).

The majority of research carried out with adolescents has focused on the risk behaviors or factors related to EDs. Hardly any studies, however, have integrated these behaviors into an analysis of cognitions. Nevertheless, the joint use of measures focusing on specific cognitions and personal beliefs may enable a better discrimination between adolescents with and without EDs. It may also permit the subclinical symptoms of EDs to be distinguished from symptoms of depression in the adolescent population (Cooper et al., 2005, 2006; Muris, 2006; Pascual et al., 2011).

This study, therefore, has the following aims: (a) to study the prevalence of altered eating behaviors (binge eating and unhealthy weight control behaviors) among adolescent girls, (b) to analyze the differences between adolescents with and without altered eating behaviors in relation to anthropometric variables, body dissatisfaction, and beliefs associated with EDs, and (c) to study the differences between adolescents in the aforementioned variables in accordance with whether they suffer from a single altered behavior (diet and binge eating) or a combination of altered behaviors (diet and binge eating, diet and other method, etc.).

**Method**

**Participants**

Participants were 767 girls aged between 16 and 20 years from 13 secondary schools and 5 universities in the Basque Country (Spain). The mean age was 17.54 (standard deviation (SD) = 1.26). Of the total group, 43 percent were in the junior year of high school, 19 percent were in the senior year of high school, 24 percent were in the first year of their undergraduate degree, and 14 percent in the second year of their undergraduate degree. The assessment was carried out during 2007 and 2008. The mean body mass index (BMI) of participants was 22.35 (SD = 3.06).

**Measures**

**Anomalous eating behaviors**

**Weight control behaviors.** To assess this aspect, we used an adaptation of the Neumark-Sztainer et al. (2002) questions. Participants were asked if they had engaged in any of the following behaviors in order to lose weight or change their body shape during the last year: “dieting,” “induced vomiting,” “taking laxatives,” “taking diuretics,” or “taking diet pills.” Respondents were asked to provide a yes or no answer to each of the behaviors. In relation to the aforementioned behaviors, they were also asked to indicate whether “they had repeated them 2 or more times a week for 3 consecutive months over the last 12 months.” In relation to physical activity, respondents were asked “Over the last 4 weeks, have you engaged in any physical exercise as a means of controlling your weight, changing your body shape or reducing body fat?” Response options were “no,” “yes, less than 4 hours a week,” “yes, between and
4 and 7 hours a week,” and “yes, more than 7 hours a week” (excessive exercise).

**Binge eating.** To assess binge eating, participants were asked “Over the last 12 months (not including special celebrations), have you binged? In other words, have you eaten a lot of food in a short space of time (e.g. 1 or 2 hours) with the feeling that you have lost control and that you cannot stop eating?” (yes/no) and “Over the last 12 months, have you indulged in this type of binge eating at least twice a week for 3 consecutive months?” (yes/no).

**Body image dissatisfaction**

**Eating Disorder Inventory.** Eating Disorder Inventory (EDI-2) assesses behaviors and symptoms associated with EDs (Garner, 1991/adapted by TEA, 1998). It is a self-report instrument with 91 elements. Responses are given on a 6-point Likert-type scale (from “never” to “always”), providing scores on 11 scales. In this study, only the first 3 subscales were used, since they assess attitudes and behaviors related to eating, weight, and body image: drive for thinness, bulimia, and body dissatisfaction. The instrument has adequate psychometric qualities. The reliability data indicate an internal consistency (Cronbach’s α) of over .80 in sample groups of patients with EDs, and coefficients between .65 and .92 in nonclinical samples (Garner and Olmstead, 1984; Shore and Porter, 1990). In Spanish samples, the internal consistency of the elements has been analyzed (TEA, 1998) applying the split-half method, with the results being similar to those obtained in the American sample, particularly with regard to the clinical group (r = .76 to r = .91).

**Beliefs associated with EDs**

**Eating Disorder Belief Questionnaire.** Eating Disorder Belief Questionnaire (EDBQ) is a self-report instrument consisting of 32 items designed to assess core attitudes and beliefs associated with EDs. Items are assessed on a scale from 0 (I do not usually believe this at all) to 100 (I am usually completely convinced that this is true) (Cooper et al., 1997). The questionnaire is made up of four subscales: (a) importance of weight and body shape as a means of self-acceptance, (b) importance of weight and body shape as a means of being accepted by others, (c) negative self-beliefs, and (d) control over eating. In the initial validation study (Cooper et al., 1997), both the reliability (the Cronbach’s α for each factor oscillated between .86 and .94) and the validity of the construct (the correlations between the 4 subscales and other ED measures were all highly significant, p < .01) were found to be good. In this study, the Cronbach’s α for the total scale was .95, and for the subscales, it ranged from .81 to .90.

**Procedure**

This research dealt with a cross-sectional study with a nonprobabilistic sample. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of the Basque Country. After educational centers agreed to participate in the investigation, a letter providing information related to the research was sent to the students’ parents.

Participants were given the questionnaires with the tasks to be performed, along with instructions for completing them. They were then given approximately half an hour to complete the scales and questionnaires described above and, in the case of those who were of age, to sign an informed consent form. In those cases in which participants were minors, the informed consent of their families was requested. In all cases, participants were reminded that the information provided was anonymous and confidential.

The instruments were applied by two qualified psychologists in the classrooms of the school or university that the students attended. Once the questionnaires had been completed, one of the psychologists measured the weight and height of each participant, in order to obtain their BMI. To encourage them to take part in the study, the psychologists offered to send them the results.
Statistical analyses

The statistical analyses were carried out with the SPSS program (version 19.0 for Windows). Descriptive statistics (means, SD, and percentages) were obtained for the demographic variables and psychometric scales. To analyze the differences between those adolescents who had engaged in some kind of weight control behavior and those who had not, Student’s t was calculated as a contrast test, and Cohen’s d was calculated to estimate the effect size of the difference. When more than two groups were compared, an analysis of variance was conducted using the Kruskal–Wallis H test, due to the differences in the sample size and the heterogeneity of the variances. For the post hoc tests between group pairings, we obtained the Mann–Whitney U and calculated the r to check the effect size.

Results

Anomalous eating behaviors

Of the 767 adolescents who made up the total sample group, 262 (34%) reported having engaged in one or more anomalous eating behaviors over the last year. The most frequent behaviors were dieting (25%) and binge eating (23%), and the least frequent were taking diet pills (4%) and laxatives (2%). Of the total participants, 6 percent reported having induced vomiting, and the percentage of those who admitted to get involved in excessive exercise and to take diuretics was in both cases 4 percent.

A total of 87 adolescents (11%) reported having engaged in some kind of weight control behavior and/or binge eating two or more times a week for three consecutive months. In specific terms, 33 percent of those adolescents who had binged, 53 and 32 percent of those who had taken diuretics and diet pills, respectively, 28 percent of those who had taken laxatives, and 43 percent of those who had induced vomiting had engaged in these behaviors with the aforementioned frequency.

Differences between adolescents with and without anomalous eating behaviors in relation to anthropometric variables, dissatisfaction with body image, and beliefs (Table 1)

With regard to anthropometric variables, moderately large and statistically significant differences were observed in weight ($p = .000, d = .41$) and BMI ($p = .000, d = .47$), although not in height. Adolescents with altered eating behaviors weighed more and had a higher BMI.

In relation to body image dissatisfaction, adolescents who engaged in anomalous behaviors scored notably higher in the drive for thinness ($p = .000, d = .87$) and body dissatisfaction ($p = .000, d = .79$) scales, and somewhat higher in the bulimia scale ($p = .000, d = .64$) of the EDI-2.

Significant differences were also found between adolescents with and without anomalous eating behaviors in all the subscales of the belief questionnaire. The greatest differences between the two groups were observed in the subscale related to the importance of weight and body shape as a means of self-acceptance ($p = .000, d = .83$). In the control over eating ($p = .000, d = .68$), importance of weight and body shape as a means of being accepted by others ($p = .000, d = .55$), and negative self-beliefs ($p = .000, d = .43$) subscales, the differences observed were moderate.

Differences in anthropometric variables, dissatisfaction with body image, and beliefs in adolescents with anomalous eating behaviors

The 262 adolescents who reported some kind of anomalous eating behavior were divided into those whose only weight control method had been dieting ($n = 79, 30$%), those who had engaged in binge eating ($n = 93, 35$%), those who had combined dieting and binge eating ($n = 33, 13$%), and those who had combined dieting with another weight control method (excessive
exercise, diuretics, diet pills, laxatives, or vomiting) \((n = 31, 12\%)\). The remaining 26 adolescents (10%) had attempted to control their weight using one or more methods, which did not include either dieting or binge eating.

When the four groups were compared in relation to anthropometric variables, concern for body image, and beliefs, significant global differences, as shown in Table 2, were found among the groups in all variables, with the exception of height and the negative self-beliefs subscale.

According to the analyses of the group pairings (2 × 2), adolescents who had only dieted had higher values than those who had only engaged in binge eating in weight \((U = 2199, p = .000, r = .35)\), BMI \((U = 1951.5, p = .000, r = .40)\), and drive for thinness \((U = 2346.5, p = .000, r = .31)\), although these differences were of medium size. The bulimia scale was the only one on which adolescents who had engaged in binge eating scored significantly higher \((U = 2010.5, p = .000, r = .40)\). No significant differences were found between the diet and binge eating groups with regard to body dissatisfaction or any of the subscales of the belief questionnaire.

Adolescents who had engaged in both dieting and binge eating scored significantly higher than those who had only dieted on the bulimia \((U = 535, p = .000, r = .49)\) and body dissatisfaction \((U = 860.5, p = .007, r = .25)\) scales, as well as on the importance of weight and body shape for self-acceptance \((U = 962, p = .036, r = .19)\) and control over eating \((U = 788, p = .001, r = .30)\) subscales. Adolescents who had combined dieting with another weight control method scored significantly higher than those who only dieted on the drive for thinness scale \((U = 888, p = .025, r = .21)\), the importance of weight and body shape for both self-acceptance \((U = 843, p = .014, r = .23)\) and for acceptance by others \((U = 811.5, p = .007, r = .26)\), and control over eating \((U = 689.5, p = .000, r = .33)\) subscales.

### Table 1. Differences between adolescents with and without anomalous eating behaviors in relation to anthropometric variables, dissatisfaction with body image, and beliefs associated with eating disorders.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Without anomalous eating behaviors ((n = 505))</th>
<th>With anomalous eating behaviors ((n = 262))</th>
<th>t (gl)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthropometric variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>58.36</td>
<td>8.41</td>
<td>62.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>21.72</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>23.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDI-2 scales</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drive for thinness</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>6.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulimia</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body dissatisfaction</td>
<td>6.21</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDBQ scales</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IWBS-SA</td>
<td>27.84</td>
<td>20.81</td>
<td>47.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IWBS-AO</td>
<td>9.81</td>
<td>13.27</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative self-beliefs</td>
<td>13.51</td>
<td>14.19</td>
<td>20.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control over eating</td>
<td>10.55</td>
<td>12.41</td>
<td>22.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: standard deviation; BMI: body mass index; EDI: Eating Disorder Inventory; EDBQ: Eating Disorder Belief Questionnaire; IWBS-SA: importance of weight and body shape for self-acceptance; IWBS-AO: importance of weight and body shape for acceptance by others.

***p < .001.
Also, adolescents who engaged in both dieting and binge eating scored significantly higher than those who reported only binge eating in weight ($U = 1101.5, p = .016, r = .21$), BMI ($U = 1159, p = .037, r = .19$), drive for thinness ($U = 832.5, p = .000, r = .35$), and body dissatisfaction ($U = 863.5, p = .000, r = .33$), as well as in importance of weight and body shape for self-acceptance ($U = 1137, p = .039, r = .18$) and control over eating ($U = 907, p = .001, r = .31$). Similarly, adolescents who had combined dieting with another weight control method had higher values than those who only engaged in binge eating for weight ($U = 646, p = .000, r = .41$) and BMI ($U = 627.5, p = .000, r = .42$) and scored higher in drive for thinness ($U = 647, p = .000, r = .41$) and body dissatisfaction ($U = 881.5, p = .001, r = .29$), as well as in importance of weight and body shape for self-acceptance ($U = 843, p = .014, r = .22$) and acceptance by others ($U = 940.5, p = .000, r = .46$) and control over eating ($U = 790.5, p = .000, r = .26$) and control over eating ($U = 790.5, p = .000, r = .26$) and control over eating ($U = 790.5, p = .000, r = .26$) and control over eating ($U = 790.5, p = .000, r = .26$). Only in the bulimia scale did adolescents report binge eating score significantly higher than those who combined dieting with another weight control method ($U = 889, p = .001, r = .29$).

Finally, the dieting and binge eating group differed significantly from the dieting and other weight control method group in that they were found to weigh less ($U = 350, p = .03, r = .27$) and have a lower BMI ($U = 335, p = .18, r = .30$) and scored higher in bulimia ($U = 243, p = .000, r = .46$).

**Discussion**

Of the total sample group of 767 adolescent girls aged between 16 and 20 years, 34 percent reported having engaged in anomalous eating...
behaviors during the last year. In specific terms, it is likely that 11 percent of the adolescents in the sample group had some kind of ED, since they reported extreme forms of weight control behavior or binge eating (i.e. at least twice a week for three consecutive months). Similar data have been obtained in other studies on the prevalence of weight control methods among adolescents and youths (Lameiras et al., 2003; Sepúlveda et al., 2010).

The most frequent altered behaviors were dieting (25%) and binge eating (23%), with the other types of weight control behaviors being found in 6 percent or less of the sample group. The frequencies of weight control strategies observed here were similar to those found by Góngora and Casullo (2008) and Thøgersen-Ntoumani et al. (2010). According to Neumark-Sztainer et al. (2006), there is an association between dieting and subsequent binge eating, as well as extreme weight control behaviors and EDs, which suggests that going on a diet may constitute the first step towards developing a severe ED.

As in other studies (Neumark-Sztainer et al., 2012; Vander Wall, 2011), the adolescents in our sample group who reported anomalous eating behaviors (34%) also had higher weight and BMI values than those who did not, although it should be pointed out that they were not overweight as such, since their mean BMI was 23.12 (SD = 3.2). They were, however, a group with notably higher levels of drive for thinness and body dissatisfaction, as well as, to a lesser extent, bulimia. These adolescents also differed from those who did not report anomalous eating behaviors in relation to their beliefs about eating; especially large differences were found in relation to the anthropometric variables of weight and BMI, the three subscales of the EDI-2 (drive for thinness, bulimia, and body dissatisfaction), and three subscales of the EDBQ (importance of weight and body shape for self-acceptance and acceptance by others and control over eating). In the EDBQ-negative self-beliefs subscale, the differences found were not significant.

In the anthropometric variables of weight and BMI, adolescents who reported having dieted, either as the only method or in combination with binge eating or another weight control method, had significantly higher values than
those who only reported binge eating. These data suggest that BMI (real or perceived) may be a precipitating factor for weight control through restricted intake (i.e. dieting). Moreover, as Neumark-Sztainer et al. (2012) point out, persistent dieting and weight control methods lead, paradoxically, to a subsequent and notable increase in BMI, both in normal weight and overweight adolescents, particularly when the strategies used include skipping meals, eating very little, or taking diet pills.

The results indicate that adolescents who combined dieting with another type of altered behavior (binge eating, excessive exercise, taking laxatives or diuretics, or induced vomiting) were at greater risk of EDs. In previous studies, excessive concern about and dissatisfaction with one’s body image has been found to be one of the principal factors for risk and maintenance of EDs (Neumark-Sztainer et al., 2006; Vander Wall, 2011).

With regard to beliefs associated with EDs, adolescents who combined dieting and binge eating, or dieting and another weight control method (and particularly this latter group), attached the most importance to weight and body shape as a means for self-acceptance and acceptance by others; they also held more beliefs regarding the need for greater control over eating and were therefore the group most at risk.

The results of the study confirm that adolescents are vulnerable to the risk of developing unhealthy eating behaviors, particularly when they hold negative beliefs about both eating and themselves. Nevertheless, the mechanism by which these behaviors facilitate the development of EDs in some adolescents but not others is as yet unclear and requires further research.

The study’s main contribution is that it was conducted with a broad sample of adolescent girls and focuses on detecting the most common forms of weight control that generally go unnoticed and pose a serious risk to teenage health. Moreover, the findings may help enable the early identification of adolescents with altered eating or weight control patterns, allowing the risk of EDs to be averted in this population.

One of the limitations of this work is that, since it is a cross-sectional study, it is not possible to establish causal relations between variables. Another limitation is that the data were gathered in a single phase, through self-reports. One challenge for the future is to include the assessment of other personality or psychopathology variables, which may help us gain a better understanding of the results, and to carry out long-term follow-up studies.
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